

Appl. No. 09/715,693
Amdt. dated 15 September 2005
Reply to Office communication dated 15-Jun-05

AMENDMENT and RESPONSE

In response to the Patent Office examiner's Office Action mailed 15 June 2005, please amend the above-captioned case as follows. This response and amendment is considered proper under 37 CFR 1.116 (see also MPEP 714.12) to adopt examiner's suggestions, by removing issues for appeal—only requiring cursory review by the examiner. The undersigned encourages the examiner to call in the event questions arise. This response has been formatted in the revised format pursuant to published notification by the Patent Office as best as can be understood. See, MPEP §714 III.

Amendments to the Specification reflect the examiner's suggestion <none suggested>.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the full listing of claims (as required for the new amendment format) which begins on page 3 of this correspondence, made to clarify that which applicants' regard as their invention.

No amendments to the Drawings are deemed necessary, as no suggestion or objection has been made to so amend, at this time.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 15 of this correspondence.

Fax

Name: Jean Macheledt
Company: Macheledt Bales LLP
Voice Number: (970) 267-8884 / (970) 218-6798
Fax Number: (970) 267-8893
Landings Bay Office Park
3944 JFK Parkway, Suite 12-D
Fort Collins, Colorado 80525-3072

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
SEP 15 2005

Date: Thursday, September 15, 2005
Total Pages: 16
Subject: App. No. 09/715,693

Name: Exr. Roberta Shand
Company: U.S. Patent Office
Voice Number:
Fax Number: (571) 273-8300

Note: Exr. {Stevens} Shand,

As explained over the tel. a few moments ago, attached is a r
response after FINAL mailed from the Patent Office on 15-Jun-05
permitted under 1.116 as set forth in the 15-page response.

Respectfully,
Jean Macheledt
Patent Attorney for Assignee/Applicant
Tel. (970) 218-6798 (direct)

RECEIVED
OIPE/IAP

SEP 16 2005

